

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/928,139

Applicant(s)

Langston et al.

Examiner

Celia Chang

Art Unit

1625



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Celia Chang (3) _____

(2) Doran Pace (4) _____

Date of Interview Mar 25, 2004

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The request for restart time on Jan. 14, 2004 was not carried through in the PALM system. such restart will be made in the system.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached


CELIA CHANG
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1625

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required